



Environmental Division
NEPA Programs

Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (PCE)

Project Information			
Project Name:	#SGR21LB (FED ID 22403) BRIDGE REPL - MCGHEE ST OVER NSRR	Federal Project#:	STP-5141(110)
Project Number:	U000-141-269, C501, P101, R201	Project Type:	Maint. Replacement
UPC:	116980	Charge Number:	UPC 116980; ACT(600) SERIES
Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):			
Route Number:	0	Route Type:	Urban
Project Limit--From:	Int. Rte. 718	To:	0.06 Mi. N. Int. Rte. 718
IPM Project Description:	#SGR21LB (FED ID 22403) BRIDGE REPL - MCGHEE ST OVER NSRR		
Additional Project Description:	This project will replace the existing bridge (Ext. Str. ID 22403; VA Str. No. 8000) carrying McGhee Street over NSRR in the Town of Bedford.		
Purpose And Need:	The purpose of the project is to rebuild the structure to restore access for traffic on McGhee Street.		
District:	City/County:	Residency:	
Salem	Bedford (City)	Bedford	

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

23 CFR 771.117

Description of PCE Category:

Bridge rehabilitation, reconstruction, or replacement or the construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings, if the actions meet the constraints in paragraph (e) of this section.

PCE c28

UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

IMPACTS:

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

Finding: *Review of project plans.*

Notes: *Review of right of way data sheets dated 12/13/2024.*

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

Finding: *Review of project plans.*

Notes: *Review of right of way data sheets dated 12/13/2024.*

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

Finding: *Review of project scope indicates there will be no new lanes carrying traffic for 2500 feet or more.*

Notes:

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

Finding: *The project will not result in any road closures or detours constituting a major traffic disruption.*

Notes:

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

Finding: *Coordination with DHR resulted in a No Effect Determination.*

Date of

Finding: *04/25/2025*

Notes: *Cultural Resources Summary Report dated 05/13/2025.*

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

Finding: *There will be no use of Section 4(f) properties.*

Date of

Finding: *04/25/2025*

Notes: *Cultural Resources Summary Report dated 05/13/2025 and NEPA Buffer Report dated 06/11/2024.*

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

Finding: *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.*

Notes:

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

Finding: *The project will not require a water quality permit based on the documentation provided.*

Date of

Finding: *06/02/2025*

Notes: *Water Permit Determination Form, dated 06/03/2025.*

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

Finding: *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.*

Notes:

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

Finding: *Based on review of FEMA floodplain mapping, the project is not within a floodplain.*

Notes:

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

Finding: *(LAP ONLY) Review by the Locality PM and per the VDOT noise manual.*

Notes:

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

Finding: *The project will have no effect on federally listed species or designated critical habitat.*

Date of

Finding: *05/22/2025*

Notes: *Threatened and Endangered Species Clearance Form dated 05/22/2025.*

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

Finding: *(LAP ONLY) EQ-121.*

Notes: *Hazardous Materials Summary Report dated 05/19/2025.*

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

Finding: *This project is included in a STIP Grouping.*

Notes:

19. The proposed action will not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Based on federal direction included in recent Executive Orders and Council on Environmental Quality actions, as well as communication between VDOT and FHWA, this item is no longer required to comply with NEPA.*

20. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

Simpson, Robin C

06/09/2025

Environmental Manager, CE Determination

Date